



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/303,315	RMATION NO	
Rashida A. Karmali, PhD 99 Wall Street	8170	
99 Wall Street	EXAMINER	
	CLOW, LORI A	
	ER NUMBER	
13th floor New York, NY 10005  ART UNIT PAPE	KNOMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/303,315	PEARLMAN, EUGENE S.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NC	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:			
Claim(s) allowed:	·			
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,21,23,24,26,and</u> 27.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme				

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/303,315

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claim 1 introduce new issues under 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph and therefore, the amendment will not be entered. It is noted that the claim language states "using a diagnostic algorithm which allows the method to self-monitor after performing a clinical test". One of skill in the at would recognize that methods are not generally considered capable of self-monitoring. Rather, systems, computers, machines etc... are capable of self-monitoring. Further, it is not clear what is intended by self-monitoring, as this term is generally applicable to a system running diagnostics on itself.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments to the claims raise new issues that have not previously been considered.

December 27, 2004 Said Claw

2